

Some s -numbers of an integral operator of Hardy type in Banach function spaces

Amiran Gogatishvili

Institute of Mathematics
of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Prague, CR

9th International Conference on
Function Spaces, Differential Operators, Nonlinear Analysis
(FSDONA-2016)

July 4-9, 2016, in Prague. (Czech Republic)

Some s -numbers of an integral operator of Hardy type in Banach function spaces

Amiran Gogatishvili

Institute of Mathematics
of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Prague, CR

9th International Conference on
Function Spaces, Differential Operators, Nonlinear Analysis
(FSDONA-2016)

July 4-9, 2016, in Prague. (Czech Republic)



D. Edmunds, A. Gogatishvili, T. Kopaliani and N. Samashvili. Some s -numbers of an integral operator of Hardy type in Banach function spaces. *Journal of Approximation Theory* 207 (2016) 76 - 97.

The Hardy-type operator

$$Tf(x) = T_{a,I,u,v}f(x) = v(x) \int_a^x f(t)u(t)dt,$$

where $I = (a, b)$, u and v are given real valued nonnegative functions on I with $|\{x : u(x) = 0\}| = |\{x : v(x) = 0\}| = 0$.
 $|\cdot|$ we denote Lebesgue measure.

We will study a mapping property between BFS.

The Hardy-type operator

$$Tf(x) = T_{a,I,u,v}f(x) = v(x) \int_a^x f(t)u(t)dt,$$

where $I = (a, b)$, u and v are given real valued nonnegative functions on I with $|\{x : u(x) = 0\}| = |\{x : v(x) = 0\}| = 0$.
 $|\cdot|$ we denote Lebesgue measure.

We will study a mapping property between BFS.

This operator appears naturally in the theory of differential equations and it is important to establish when operators of this kind have properties such as boundedness, compactness, and to estimate their eigenvalues, or their approximation numbers.

Let $L(I)$ be the space of all Lebesgue-measurable real functions on $I = (a, b)$, where $-\infty < a < b < +\infty$. A Banach subspace E of $L(I)$ is said to be a Banach function space (BFS) if:

Let $L(I)$ be the space of all Lebesgue-measurable real functions on $I = (a, b)$, where $-\infty < a < b < +\infty$. A Banach subspace E of $L(I)$ is said to be a Banach function space (BFS) if:

1) the norm $\|f\|_E$ is defined for every measurable function f and $f \in E$ if and only if $\|f\|_E < \infty$: $\|f\|_E = 0$ if and only if $f = 0$ a.e.;

Let $L(I)$ be the space of all Lebesgue-measurable real functions on $I = (a, b)$, where $-\infty < a < b < +\infty$. A Banach subspace E of $L(I)$ is said to be a Banach function space (BFS) if:

- 1) the norm $\|f\|_E$ is defined for every measurable function f and $f \in E$ if and only if $\|f\|_E < \infty$: $\|f\|_E = 0$ if and only if $f = 0$ a.e.;
- 2) $\|f\|_E = \|f\|_E$ for all $f \in E$;

Let $L(I)$ be the space of all Lebesgue-measurable real functions on $I = (a, b)$, where $-\infty < a < b < +\infty$. A Banach subspace E of $L(I)$ is said to be a Banach function space (BFS) if:

- 1) the norm $\|f\|_E$ is defined for every measurable function f and $f \in E$ if and only if $\|f\|_E < \infty$: $\|f\|_E = 0$ if and only if $f = 0$ a.e.;
- 2) $\| |f| \|_E = \|f\|_E$ for all $f \in E$;
- 3) if $0 \leq f \leq g$ a.e., then $\|f\|_E \leq \|g\|_E$;

Let $L(I)$ be the space of all Lebesgue-measurable real functions on $I = (a, b)$, where $-\infty < a < b < +\infty$. A Banach subspace E of $L(I)$ is said to be a Banach function space (BFS) if:

- 1) the norm $\|f\|_E$ is defined for every measurable function f and $f \in E$ if and only if $\|f\|_E < \infty$: $\|f\|_E = 0$ if and only if $f = 0$ a.e.;
- 2) $\| |f| \|_E = \|f\|_E$ for all $f \in E$;
- 3) if $0 \leq f \leq g$ a.e., then $\|f\|_E \leq \|g\|_E$;
- 4) if $0 \leq f_n \uparrow f$ a.e., then $\|f_n\|_E \uparrow \|f\|_E$;

Let $L(I)$ be the space of all Lebesgue-measurable real functions on $I = (a, b)$, where $-\infty < a < b < +\infty$. A Banach subspace E of $L(I)$ is said to be a Banach function space (BFS) if:

- 1) the norm $\|f\|_E$ is defined for every measurable function f and $f \in E$ if and only if $\|f\|_E < \infty$: $\|f\|_E = 0$ if and only if $f = 0$ a.e.;
- 2) $\| |f| \|_E = \|f\|_E$ for all $f \in E$;
- 3) if $0 \leq f \leq g$ a.e., then $\|f\|_E \leq \|g\|_E$;
- 4) if $0 \leq f_n \uparrow f$ a.e., then $\|f_n\|_E \uparrow \|f\|_E$;
- 5) $L^\infty(I) \subset E \subset L^1(I)$.

Let $L(I)$ be the space of all Lebesgue-measurable real functions on $I = (a, b)$, where $-\infty < a < b < +\infty$. A Banach subspace E of $L(I)$ is said to be a Banach function space (BFS) if:

- 1) the norm $\|f\|_E$ is defined for every measurable function f and $f \in E$ if and only if $\|f\|_E < \infty$: $\|f\|_E = 0$ if and only if $f = 0$ a.e.;
- 2) $\| |f| \|_E = \|f\|_E$ for all $f \in E$;
- 3) if $0 \leq f \leq g$ a.e., then $\|f\|_E \leq \|g\|_E$;
- 4) if $0 \leq f_n \uparrow f$ a.e., then $\|f_n\|_E \uparrow \|f\|_E$;
- 5) $L^\infty(I) \subset E \subset L^1(I)$.

Given a Banach function space E , its associate space E' consists of those $g \in S$ such that $f \cdot g \in L^1$ for every $f \in E$ with norm

$$\|g\|_{E'} = \sup \{ \|f \cdot g\|_{L^1} : \|f\|_E \leq 1 \}.$$

Let $L(I)$ be the space of all Lebesgue-measurable real functions on $I = (a, b)$, where $-\infty < a < b < +\infty$. A Banach subspace E of $L(I)$ is said to be a Banach function space (BFS) if:

- 1) the norm $\|f\|_E$ is defined for every measurable function f and $f \in E$ if and only if $\|f\|_E < \infty$: $\|f\|_E = 0$ if and only if $f = 0$ a.e.;
- 2) $\| |f| \|_E = \|f\|_E$ for all $f \in E$;
- 3) if $0 \leq f \leq g$ a.e., then $\|f\|_E \leq \|g\|_E$;
- 4) if $0 \leq f_n \uparrow f$ a.e., then $\|f_n\|_E \uparrow \|f\|_E$;
- 5) $L^\infty(I) \subset E \subset L^1(I)$.

Given a Banach function space E , its associate space E' consists of those $g \in S$ such that $f \cdot g \in L^1$ for every $f \in E$ with norm

$$\|g\|_{E'} = \sup \{ \|f \cdot g\|_{L^1} : \|f\|_E \leq 1 \}.$$

E' is a BFS on I and a closed norm fundamental subspace of the conjugate space E^* .

We say that the space E has absolutely continuous norm (AC-norm) if for all

$$f \in E, \quad \|f\chi_{X_n}\|_E \rightarrow 0$$

for every sequence of measurable sets $\{X_n\} \subset I$ such that $\chi_{X_n} \rightarrow 0$ a.e.

We say that the space E has absolutely continuous norm (AC-norm) if for all

$$f \in E, \quad \|f\chi_{X_n}\|_E \rightarrow 0$$

for every sequence of measurable sets $\{X_n\} \subset I$ such that $\chi_{X_n} \rightarrow 0$ a.e.

Note that BFS E is reflexive if and only if both E and its associate space E' have AC-norm.

We say that the space E has absolutely continuous norm (AC-norm) if for all

$$f \in E, \quad \|f\chi_{X_n}\|_E \rightarrow 0$$

for every sequence of measurable sets $\{X_n\} \subset I$ such that $\chi_{X_n} \rightarrow 0$ a.e.

Note that BFS E is reflexive if and only if both E and its associate space E' have AC-norm.

Let E be a Banach space with dual E^* ; the value of x^* at $x \in E$ is denoted by $(x, x^*)_E$ or (x, x^*) .

We say that the space E has absolutely continuous norm (AC-norm) if for all

$$f \in E, \quad \|f\chi_{X_n}\|_E \rightarrow 0$$

for every sequence of measurable sets $\{X_n\} \subset I$ such that $\chi_{X_n} \rightarrow 0$ a.e.

Note that BFS E is reflexive if and only if both E and its associate space E' have AC-norm.

Let E be a Banach space with dual E^* ; the value of x^* at $x \in E$ is denoted by $(x, x^*)_E$ or (x, x^*) .

We recall that E is said to be strictly convex if whenever $x, y \in E$ are such that $x \neq y$ and $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$, and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, then $\|\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y\| < 1$.

This simply means that the unit sphere in E does not contain any line segment.

By Π we denote the family of all sequences $\mathcal{Q} = \{I_i\}$ of disjoint intervals in I such that $I = \cup_{I_i \in \mathcal{Q}} I_i$.

We ignore the difference in notation caused by a null set.

By Π we denote the family of all sequences $\mathcal{Q} = \{I_i\}$ of disjoint intervals in I such that $I = \cup_{I_i \in \mathcal{Q}} I_i$.

We ignore the difference in notation caused by a null set.

Everywhere in the sequel by $l_{\mathcal{Q}}$, ($\mathcal{Q} \in \Pi$) we denote a Banach sequence space (BSS) (indexed by a partition $\mathcal{Q} = \{I_i\}$ of I), meaning that axioms 1)-4) are satisfied with respect to the counting measure.

By Π we denote the family of all sequences $\mathcal{Q} = \{I_i\}$ of disjoint intervals in I such that $I = \cup_{I_i \in \mathcal{Q}} I_i$.

We ignore the difference in notation caused by a null set.

Everywhere in the sequel by $l_{\mathcal{Q}}$, ($\mathcal{Q} \in \Pi$) we denote a Banach sequence space (BSS) (indexed by a partition $\mathcal{Q} = \{I_i\}$ of I), meaning that axioms 1)-4) are satisfied with respect to the counting measure.

$\{e_{I_i}\}$ denote the standard unit vectors in $l_{\mathcal{Q}}$.

By Π we denote the family of all sequences $\mathcal{Q} = \{I_i\}$ of disjoint intervals in I such that $I = \cup_{I_i \in \mathcal{Q}} I_i$.

We ignore the difference in notation caused by a null set.

Everywhere in the sequel by $l_{\mathcal{Q}}$, ($\mathcal{Q} \in \Pi$) we denote a Banach sequence space (BSS) (indexed by a partition $\mathcal{Q} = \{I_i\}$ of I), meaning that axioms 1)-4) are satisfied with respect to the counting measure.

$\{e_{I_i}\}$ denote the standard unit vectors in $l_{\mathcal{Q}}$.

Let J be an arbitrary interval of I . By $E(J)$ we denote the "restriction" of the space E to J ; $E(J) = \{f\chi_J : f \in E\}$, with the norm $\|f\|_{E(J)} = \|f\chi_J\|_E$.

Definition

Let $I = \{I_Q\}_{Q \in \Pi}$ be a family of BSSs. A BFS E is said to satisfy a uniform upper (lower) I -estimate if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $f \in E$ and $Q \in \Pi$ we have

$$\|f\|_E \leq C \left\| \sum_{I_i \in Q} \|f \chi_{I_i}\|_E \cdot e_i \right\|_{I_Q} \left(\left\| \sum_{I_i \in Q} \|f \chi_{I_i}\|_E \cdot e_i \right\|_{I_Q} \leq C \|f\|_E \right).$$

Definition

Let $I = \{I_Q\}_{Q \in \Pi}$ be a family of BSSs. A BFS E is said to satisfy a uniform upper (lower) I -estimate if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $f \in E$ and $Q \in \Pi$ we have

$$\|f\|_E \leq C \left\| \sum_{I_i \in Q} \|f \chi_{I_i}\|_E \cdot e_{I_i} \right\|_{I_Q} \left(\left\| \sum_{I_i \in Q} \|f \chi_{I_i}\|_E \cdot e_{I_i} \right\|_{I_Q} \leq C \|f\|_E \right).$$

Note also that if E simultaneously satisfies upper and lower $I = \{I_Q\}_{Q \in \Pi}$ estimates then E' simultaneously satisfies upper and lower $I' = \{I'_Q\}_{Q \in \Pi}$ estimates.

Definition

Let $I = \{I_Q\}_{Q \in \Pi}$ be a family of BSSs. A BFS E is said to satisfy a uniform upper (lower) I -estimate if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $f \in E$ and $Q \in \Pi$ we have

$$\|f\|_E \leq C \left\| \sum_{I_i \in Q} \|f \chi_{I_i}\|_E \cdot e_{I_i} \right\|_{I_Q} \left(\left\| \sum_{I_i \in Q} \|f \chi_{I_i}\|_E \cdot e_{I_i} \right\|_{I_Q} \leq C \|f\|_E \right).$$

Note also that if E simultaneously satisfies upper and lower $I = \{I_Q\}_{Q \in \Pi}$ estimates then E' simultaneously satisfies upper and lower $I' = \{I'_Q\}_{Q \in \Pi}$ estimates.

This Definition was introduced by Kopaliani. The idea behind it is simply to generalize the following property of the Lebesgue norm:

$$\|f\|_{L^p}^p = \sum_i \|f \chi_{\Omega_i}\|_{L^p}^p$$

for a partition of \mathbb{R}^n into measurable sets Ω_i .

Definition

Let $I = \{I_Q\}_{Q \in \Pi}$ be a family of BSSs. A BFS E is said to satisfy a uniform upper (lower) I -estimate if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $f \in E$ and $Q \in \Pi$ we have

$$\|f\|_E \leq C \left\| \sum_{I_i \in Q} \|f \chi_{I_i}\|_E \cdot e_{I_i} \right\|_{I_Q} \left(\left\| \sum_{I_i \in Q} \|f \chi_{I_i}\|_E \cdot e_{I_i} \right\|_{I_Q} \leq C \|f\|_E \right).$$

Note also that if E simultaneously satisfies upper and lower $I = \{I_Q\}_{Q \in \Pi}$ estimates then E' simultaneously satisfies upper and lower $I' = \{I'_Q\}_{Q \in \Pi}$ estimates.

This Definition was introduced by Kopaliani. The idea behind it is simply to generalize the following property of the Lebesgue norm:

$$\|f\|_{L^p}^p = \sum_i \|f \chi_{\Omega_i}\|_{L^p}^p$$

for a partition of \mathbb{R}^n into measurable sets Ω_i .

The notions of uniform upper (lower) I -estimates, when $I_{Q_1} = I_{Q_2}$ for all $Q_1, Q_2 \in \Pi$, were introduced by Bereznoi .

Theorem

Let E be a BFS. Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- 1) There is a family $I = \{I_Q\}_{Q \in \Pi}$ of BSSs such that E satisfies simultaneously upper and lower $I = \{I_Q\}_{Q \in \Pi}$ estimates.
- 2) There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $f \in E$ and $Q \in \Pi$,

$$\frac{1}{C} \|f\|_E \leq \left\| \sum_{I_i \in Q} \frac{\|f \chi_{I_i}\|_E}{\|\chi_{I_i}\|_E} \cdot \chi_{I_i} \right\|_E \leq C \|f\|_E. \quad (1)$$

- 3) There exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{I_i \in Q} \|f \chi_{I_i}\|_E \|g \chi_{I_i}\|_{E'} \leq C_1 \|f\|_E \|g\|_{E'} \quad (2)$$

for any $Q \in \Pi$ and every $f \in E$, $g \in E'$.

Theorem

Let E and F be BFSs with the following property: there exists a family of BSS $I = \{I_Q\}_{Q \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that E satisfies a uniform lower I -estimate and F a uniform upper I -estimate. Suppose that $u_{\chi(a,x)} \in E'$ and $v_{\chi(x,b)} \in E$ whenever $a < x < b$. Then T is a bounded operator from E into F if and only if

$$\sup_{a < t < b} A(t) = \sup_{a < t < b} \|v_{\chi(t,b)}\|_F \|u_{\chi(a,t)}\|_{E'} < \infty.$$

We observe that similar results hold when we replace v and u by $v\chi_J$ and $u\chi_J$ respectively, where J is any subinterval of I .

We observe that similar results hold when we replace v and u by $v\chi_J$ and $u\chi_J$ respectively, where J is any subinterval of I .

Theorem

Let $J = (c, d)$ be any interval of I ; let E and F be BFS for which there exists a family of BSS $I = \{I_Q\}_{Q \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that E satisfies a uniform lower I -estimate and F a uniform upper I -estimate. Then the operator

$$T_J f(x) = v(x)\chi_J(x) \int_a^x u(t)\chi_J(t)f(t)dt$$

is bounded from E into F if and only if

$$A_J = \sup_{t \in J} A_J(t) = \sup_{t \in J} \|v\chi_J\chi_{(t,d)}\|_F \|u\chi_J\chi_{(c,t)}\|_{E'} < \infty.$$

Moreover $A_J \leq \|T_J\| \leq K \cdot A_J$, where $K \geq 1$ is a constant independent of J .

Theorem

Let $T : E \rightarrow F$ be bounded, where E, F are BFS with AC-norms. Then T is compact from E to F if and only if the following two statements are satisfied:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a^+} \sup_{a < r < x} \|v\chi_{(r,x)}\|_F \|u\chi_{(a,r)}\|_{E'} = 0,$$

and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow b^-} \sup_{x < r < b} \|v\chi_{(r,b)}\|_F \|u\chi_{(x,r)}\|_{E'} = 0.$$

Theorem

Let $T : E \rightarrow F$ be bounded, where E, F are BFS with AC-norms. Then T is compact from E to F if and only if the following two statements are satisfied:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a^+} \sup_{a < r < x} \|v\chi_{(r,x)}\|_F \|u\chi_{(a,r)}\|_{E'} = 0,$$

and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow b^-} \sup_{x < r < b} \|v\chi_{(r,b)}\|_F \|u\chi_{(x,r)}\|_{E'} = 0.$$

Note that if E and F have AC-norms and $u \in E', v \in F$ then $T : E \rightarrow F$ is compact.

$B(E, F)$ will denote the space of all bounded linear maps of E to F . Given a closed linear subspace M of E , the embedding map of M into E will be denoted by J_M^E and the canonical map of E onto the quotient space E/M by Q_M^E . Let $S \in B(E, E)$. Then the modulus of injectivity of T is

$$j(S) = \sup\{\rho \geq 0 : \|Sx\|_E \geq \rho\|x\|_E \text{ for all } x \in E\}.$$

$B(E, F)$ will denote the space of all bounded linear maps of E to F . Given a closed linear subspace M of E , the embedding map of M into E will be denoted by J_M^E and the canonical map of E onto the quotient space E/M by Q_M^E . Let $S \in B(E, E)$. Then the modulus of injectivity of T is

$$j(S) = \sup\{\rho \geq 0 : \|Sx\|_E \geq \rho\|x\|_E \text{ for all } x \in E\}.$$

Definition

Let $S \in B(E, E)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the n th approximation, isomorphism, Gelfand, Bernstein and Kolmogorov numbers of S are defined by

$$a_n(S) = \inf\{\|S - P\| : P \in B(E, E), \text{rank}(P) < n\};$$

$$i_n(S) = \sup\{\|A\|^{-1}\|B\|^{-1}\},$$

where the supremum is taken over all possible Banach spaces G with $\dim G \geq n$ and maps $A \in B(E, G)$, $B \in B(G, E)$ such that ASB is the identity on G ;

$$c_n(S) = \inf\{\|SJ_M^E\| : \text{codim}(M) < n\};$$

$$b_n(S) = \sup\{j(SJ_M^E); \dim(M) \geq n\};$$

$$d_n(S) = \inf\{\|Q_M^E S\| : \dim(M) < n\}.$$

Below $s_n(S)$ denotes any of the n th approximation, isomorphism, Gelfand, Kolmogorov or Bernstein numbers of the operator S .

Some of the facts concerning the numbers $s_n(S)$ are summarized in the following theorem

Theorem

Let $S \in B(E, E)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$a_n(S) \geq c_n(S) \geq b_n(S) \geq i_n(S)$$

and

$$a_n(S) \geq d_n(S) \geq b_n(S) \geq i_n(S).$$

The behavior of the s -numbers of the Hardy-type operator T is reasonably well understood in case $E = F = L^p(a, b)$.

The behavior of the s -numbers of the Hardy-type operator T is reasonably well understood in case $E = F = L^p(a, b)$.

Theorem

Suppose that $1 < p < \infty$, $v \in L^p(a, b)$, $u \in L^q(a, b)$ where $1/p + 1/q = 1$. Then for $T : L^p(a, b) \rightarrow L^p(a, b)$ we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ns_n(T) = \frac{1}{2} \gamma_p \int_a^b u(x)v(x)dx,$$

where $\gamma_p = \pi^{-1} p^{1/q} q^{1/p} \sin(\pi/p)$.

We say that a space E fulfills the Muckenhoupt condition if for some constant $C > 0$ and for all intervals $J \subset I$ we have

$$\frac{1}{|J|} \|\chi_J\|_E \|\chi_J\|_{E'} \leq C.$$

We say that a space E fulfills the Muckenhoupt condition if for some constant $C > 0$ and for all intervals $J \subset I$ we have

$$\frac{1}{|J|} \|\chi_J\|_E \|\chi_J\|_{E'} \leq C.$$

Note that if E fulfills the Muckenhoupt condition, then using Hölders inequality we obtain

$$\frac{1}{|J|} \int_J |f(x)| dx \leq C \frac{\|f\chi_J\|_E}{\|\chi_J\|_E},$$

and if additionally E simultaneously satisfies upper and lower $l = \{l_Q\}_{Q \in \mathbb{R}^n}$ estimates, then from (1) we obtain

$$\left\| \sum_{l_i \in \mathcal{Q}} \chi_{l_i} \frac{1}{|l_i|} \int_{l_i} |f(x)| dx \right\|_E \leq C_1 \|f\|_E,$$

where $C_1 > 0$ is an absolute constant independent of the partition \mathcal{Q} of I .

We say that a space E fulfills the Muckenhoupt condition if for some constant $C > 0$ and for all intervals $J \subset I$ we have

$$\frac{1}{|J|} \|\chi_J\|_E \|\chi_J\|_{E'} \leq C.$$

Note that if E fulfills the Muckenhoupt condition, then using Hölders inequality we obtain

$$\frac{1}{|J|} \int_J |f(x)| dx \leq C \frac{\|f\chi_J\|_E}{\|\chi_J\|_E},$$

and if additionally E simultaneously satisfies upper and lower $l = \{I_Q\}_{Q \in \Pi}$ estimates, then from (1) we obtain

$$\left\| \sum_{I_i \in \mathcal{Q}} \chi_{I_i} \frac{1}{|I_i|} \int_{I_i} |f(x)| dx \right\|_E \leq C_1 \|f\|_E,$$

where $C_1 > 0$ is an absolute constant independent of the partition \mathcal{Q} of I . If for a space E we have the Muckenhoupt condition and (1), we denote this by writing $E \in \mathcal{M}$.

We say that a space E fulfills the Muckenhoupt condition if for some constant $C > 0$ and for all intervals $J \subset I$ we have

$$\frac{1}{|J|} \|\chi_J\|_E \|\chi_J\|_{E'} \leq C.$$

Note that if E fulfills the Muckenhoupt condition, then using Hölders inequality we obtain

$$\frac{1}{|J|} \int_J |f(x)| dx \leq C \frac{\|f\chi_J\|_E}{\|\chi_J\|_E},$$

and if additionally E simultaneously satisfies upper and lower $l = \{l_Q\}_{Q \in \Pi}$ estimates, then from (1) we obtain

$$\left\| \sum_{I_i \in \mathcal{Q}} \chi_{I_i} \frac{1}{|I_i|} \int_{I_i} |f(x)| dx \right\|_E \leq C_1 \|f\|_E,$$

where $C_1 > 0$ is an absolute constant independent of the partition \mathcal{Q} of I . If for a space E we have the Muckenhoupt condition and (1), we denote this by writing $E \in \mathcal{M}$.

Note that in the case of a reflexive variable exponent Lebesgue space the condition $L^{p(\cdot)} \in \mathcal{M}$ implies the boundedness of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator in $L^{p(\cdot)}$.

The main result

Theorem

Let E be BFS belong to the class \mathcal{M} . Let the spaces E, E^* be strictly convex and assume that E and E' have AC- norms. Suppose $u \in E', v \in E$. Then there exists constants $C_1 = C_1(E), C_2 = C_2(E) > 0$ such that, for the map $T : E \rightarrow E$

$$C_1 \int_a^b u(x)v(x)dx \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} ns_n(T) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} ns_n(T) \leq C_2 \int_a^b u(x)v(x)dx.$$

Given a measurable function $p(\cdot) : (a, b) \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$,
 $L^{p(\cdot)}(a, b)$ denotes the set of measurable functions f on (a, b) such that for
some $\lambda > 0$,

$$\int_{(a,b)} \left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \right)^{p(x)} dx < \infty.$$

Given a measurable function $p(\cdot) : (a, b) \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$,
 $L^{p(\cdot)}(a, b)$ denotes the set of measurable functions f on (a, b) such that for some $\lambda > 0$,

$$\int_{(a,b)} \left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \right)^{p(x)} dx < \infty.$$

This set becomes a Banach function space when equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{p(\cdot)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{(a,b)} \left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \right)^{p(x)} dx \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Given a measurable function $p(\cdot) : (a, b) \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$,
 $L^{p(\cdot)}(a, b)$ denotes the set of measurable functions f on (a, b) such that for some $\lambda > 0$,

$$\int_{(a,b)} \left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \right)^{p(x)} dx < \infty.$$

This set becomes a Banach function space when equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{p(\cdot)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{(a,b)} \left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \right)^{p(x)} dx \leq 1 \right\}.$$

We say that a function $p : (a, b) \rightarrow (1, \infty)$ is log-Hölder continuous if there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$|p(x) - p(y)| \leq \frac{C}{\log(e + 1/|x - y|)} \quad \text{for all } x, y \in (a, b) \text{ and } x \neq y.$$

Denote by \mathcal{P}_{\log} the set of all log-Hölder continuous exponents that satisfy

$$p_- = \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in (a,b)} p(x) > 1, \quad p_+ = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in (a,b)} p(x) < \infty.$$

Proposition

Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}_{\log}$. Then $L^{p(\cdot)}(a, b) \in \mathcal{M}$.

Proposition

Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}_{\log}$. Then $L^{p(\cdot)}(a, b) \in \mathcal{M}$.

Note that there exist another class of exponents giving rise to property (1).
Indeed, let $p(\cdot) : [0, 1] \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ be log-Hölder continuous,
 $w(t) = \int_a^t l(u)du$, $t \in (a, b)$, $w(b) = 1$, $l(u) > 0$ ($u \in (a, b)$). Then
 $L^{p((w(\cdot)))}(a, b)$ has property (1).

Proposition

Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}_{\log}$. Then $L^{p(\cdot)}(a, b) \in \mathcal{M}$.

Note that there exist another class of exponents giving rise to property (1). Indeed, let $p(\cdot) : [0, 1] \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ be log-Hölder continuous, $w(t) = \int_a^t l(u)du$, $t \in (a, b)$, $w(b) = 1$, $l(u) > 0$ ($u \in (a, b)$). Then $L^{p((w(\cdot)))}(a, b)$ has property (1).

Corollary

Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}_{\log}$ and $v \in L^{p(\cdot)}(a, b)$, $u \in L^{q(\cdot)}(a, b)$ ($1/p(x) + 1/q(x) = 1$, $x \in (a, b)$). Then T acts from the variable exponent space $L^{p(\cdot)}(a, b)$ to itself and

$$C_1 \int_{(a,b)} u(x)v(x)dx \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} ns_n(T) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} ns_n(T) \leq C_2 \int_{(a,b)} u(x)v(x)dx.$$

We say that an exponent $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}_{\log}$ is strongly log-Hölder continuous (and write $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{SP}_{\log}$) if there is an increasing continuous function defined on $[0, b-a]$ such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \psi(t) = 0$ and








$$-|p(x) - p(y)| \ln |x - y| \leq \psi(|x - y|) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in (a, b) \text{ with } 0 < |x - y| < 1/2.$$








Theorem








Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{SP}_{\log}$ and $u = v = 1$. Then T acts from the variable exponent space $L^{p(\cdot)}(a, b)$ to itself and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ns'_n(T) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_I (q(x)p(x)^{p(x)-1})^{1/p(x)} \sin(\pi/p(x)) dx,$$

where $s'_n(T)$ stands for any of the n -th approximation, Gelfand, Kolmogorov and Bernstein numbers of T .

-  C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, Interpolation of Operators, Pure Appl. Math., 129, Academic Press, 1988.
-  E. I. Bereznoi, Sharp estimates for operators on cones in ideal spaces. *Trudy Math. Inst. Steklov*, 204(1993),3-36, (Russian).
-  D. Cruz-Uribe and A. Fiorenza, Variable Lebesgue Spaces: Foundations and Harmonic Analysis. Birkhäuser, Basel (2013).
-  L. Diening, P. Hästö, P. Harjulehto and M. Ružička, Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces with variable exponents, Springer Lecture Notes, vol. 2017, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 2011.
-  D. E. Edmunds and W. D. Evans, Hardy operators, Function Spaces and Embeddings, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New-York,2014.
-  D. E. Edmunds, W. D. Evans and D. J. Harris, Approximation numbers of certain Volterra integral operators. *J. London Math. Soc.* 37 (1988), 471–489.
-  D. E. Edmunds , W. D. Evans and D. J. Harris, Two-sided estimates of the approximation numbers of certain Volterra integral operators. *Studia Math.* 124 (1997), 59–80.

-  D. E. Edmunds, P. Gurka and L. Pick, Compactness of Hardy-type integral operators in weighted Banach function spaces, *Studia Math.* 109 (1994), 73–90.
-  D. E. Edmunds, R. Kerman and J. Lang, Remainder estimates for the approximation numbers of weighted Hardy operators acting on L^2 . *J. Anal. Math.* 85 (2001), 225–243.
-  D. E. Edmunds, J. Lang and A. Nekvinda, Some s -numbers of an integral operator of Hardy type on $L^{p(\cdot)}$ spaces, *J. Functional Anal.* 257 (2009), no. 1, 219–242.
-  D. E. Edmunds and J. Lang, Approximation numbers and Kolmogorov widths of Hardy-type operators in a non-homogeneous case. *Math. Nachr.* 279 (2006), 727–742.
-  D. E. Edmunds and J. Lang, Bernstein widths of Hardy-type operators in a non-homogeneous case, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 325 (2007), 1060–1076.
-  D. E. Edmunds, J. Lang and O. Méndez, *Differential Operators on Spaces of Variable Integrability*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2014.
-  W. D. Evans, D. J. Harris, and J. Lang, Two-sided estimates for the approximation numbers of Hardy-type operators in L^∞ and L^1 , *Studia Math.* 130 (2) (1998), 171–192.

-  W. D. Evans, D. J. Harris and J. Lang, The approximation numbers of Hardy-type operators on trees. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) 83(2001), 390–418.
-  T. Kopaliani, On some structural properties of Banach function spaces and boundedness of certain integral operators. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 54(129)(2004), 791-805.
-  T. Kopaliani, A characterization of some weighted norm inequalities for maximal operators. *Z. Anal. Anwend.* 29 (2010), 401–412.
-  J. Lang, Improved estimates for the approximation numbers of the Hardy-type operators, *J. Approx. Theory.* 121 (2003), 61–70.
-  J. Lang and D. E. Edmunds, Eigenvalues, Embeddings and Generalised Trigonometric Functions, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 2016, Springer-Verlag, 2011.
-  M. A. Lifshits and W. Linde, Approximation and entropy numbers of Volterra operators with application to Brownian motion. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 157 (2002), no. 745, viii+87 pp.
-  E. Lomakina and V. Stepanov, On the Hardy-type integral operators in Banach function spaces, *Publ.Math.* 42(1998), 165–194.



J. Newman and M. Solomyak, Two-sided estimates of singular values for a class of integral operators on the semiaxis, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* 20 (1994), 335349.



A. Pietsch, *History of Banach Spaces and Linear Operators*, Birkhäuser, Boston, Basel, Berlin, 2007.



L. P. Vlasov, Approximative properties of sets in normed linear spaces, *Russian Math. Surveys*, 28(1973), no. 6, 1–66.

Thank you for attention!